|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Risk ID** | **Risk** | **Corporate Objective** | **Gross Risk** | **Residual Risk** | **Current Risk** | **Owner** | **Date Risk Reviewed**  | **Proximity of Risk (Projects/ Contracts Only)** |
| **Category-000-Service Area Code** | **Risk Title** | **Opportunity/Threat** | **Risk Description** | **Risk Cause** | **Consequence** | **Date raised** | **1 to 6** | **I** | **P** | **I** | **P** | **I** | **P** |   |   |   |
|  CEB -001-ED | Council Reputation |  T | Customer or stakeholderdissatisfied with scope/objectivesof Low Emission Strategy. | Poor planning/poor consultation. | Damage to City Council standing. Need for revisions to Strategy. |   |  4 |  3 | 3  |  2 |  3 |  2 |  3 |  RP |  11/07/2013 |   |
| CEB -002-ED | Council Reputation | T | Customer or stakeholderdisatisfaction with delivery of Low Emission Strategy / relatedaction plans | Poor planning/poor consultation. | Damage to City Council standing. Need for revisions to Strategy. |  | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | RP | 11/07/2013 |  |
| CEB -003-ED | Council Reputation | T | Failure to achieve targets /objectives set out in theLow Emission Strategy | Poor planning. Weak corporate functioning | Damage to City Council standing. Need for corrective action. |  | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | RP | 11/07/2013 |  |
| CEB -004-ED | Regulators Requirements | T | The LES fails to achieve compliance with regulatory requirements | Poor planning / inadequate delivery | Intervention / penalty by regulator.Unbudgeted cost of corrective action.Reputational damage. |  | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | RP | 11/07/2013 |  |
| CEB -005-ED | Financial savings | T | Introduction of new savings thatthreaten delivery of theLow Emiussion Strategy AQAP | Future unforeseen budgetary cuts. | Impaired delivery of Low EmissionStrategy.Failure to achieve targets / objectives.Reputational damage. |  | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | RP | 11/07/2013 |  |